



SciDataCon Conflict of Interest (COI) Statement

SciDataCon (SDC) and its organizers CODATA and World Data System appreciate your willingness to serve as a peer reviewer for SciDataCon 2025. Without your support, we could not effectively judge the large number of proposals we receive for each conference.

To help you understand if you are conflicted with a proposal, please consider the following each time you review an SDC proposal: If you are conflicted with a proposal under this guidance, please notify scidatacon@codata.org as soon as possible and the SDC program committee will reassign the review to another.

As a reviewer, you may NOT:

- Be named on the submission
- Be affiliated with the same organizational unit as the submission authors
- Receive a direct financial benefit if the submission is accepted
- Have collaborated with or had any other professional relationship (mentor, mentee, etc.) with any author on the submission within the last five years.

Reviewers MAY review conference proposals that:

- Originated from the same organization (e.g., university) the reviewer is affiliated with but is in a different department
 - For example: A reviewer in the school of computer science may evaluate a submission from the engineering school at the same university, as long as the schools are in different departments or colleges.
- Originated from an organization where the reviewer has collaborators, but the reviewer's collaborators are not listed on the application
- The reviewer is a member of a research network that includes a submission author.

Please direct any questions to the SciDataCon Organizing Committee by email: scidatacon@codata.org.